IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In re: Nomination Petition of : Claudia De la Cruz and Karina :

Garcia as Socialism and Liberation :

Candidates for President and Vice :

President; Xiomara Torres, Walter : Smolarek, Timothy Trout, Talia :

Giles, Sarah Bryski-Hamrick, Sarah

Bradham, Nancy Mitchell, Maxime : No. 380 M.D. 2024

Delafosse-Brown, Madelin Burrows, : HEARD: August 14, 2024

Lauren Marco, Kayla Trimble,

Katherine Miernicki, Karla Martin,

Jacqueline Alford, Hannah Rosche, :

Francis Scarsella, Dominic

Denuzzio, Casey Wilbanks, and : Bianca Panunto as Socialism :

and Liberation Candidates for :

Presidential Elector :

:

Objection of: Laura Morris Siena

and Arthur Sternberger, III

BEFORE: HONORABLE BONNIE BRIGANCE LEADBETTER, Senior Judge

OPINION NOT REPORTED

MEMORANDUM OPINION BY SENIOR JUDGE LEADBETTER

Objectors, Laura Morris Siena and Arthur Sternberger, III, have filed a Petition to Set Aside the Nomination Papers of Claudia De la Cruz and Karina Garcia as the Socialism and Liberation Candidates for President and Vice President of the United States in the November 5, 2024, General Election. On August 14, 2024, the Court held an evidentiary hearing at which it considered the above-captioned matter and the related matter of *In re: Nomination Petition of Claudia De la Cruz and*

FILED: August 20, 2024

Karina Garcia (Pa. Cmwlth., No. 379 M.D. 2024, filed Aug. 20, 2024).¹ The two cases share three issues,² which the Court resolved as follows in No. 379 M.D. 2024: (1) Presidential Electors are candidates under the Pennsylvania Election Code³ and subject to the party disaffiliation rules thereunder; (2) the Presidential Elector candidates failed to disaffiliate in time such that their nomination papers must be stricken; and (3) having fewer than a full slate of candidates for Presidential Electors is fatal to the entire slate. Accordingly, consistent with the rationale set forth in No. 379 M.D. 2024, the Court grants Objectors' Petition in the above-captioned matter.

Background and Procedural History⁴

Under the Election Code, Socialism and Liberation is a political body rather than a political party.⁵ This distinction is significant as it determines the process by which candidates are nominated. "In short, a political party uses the primary election to nominate its candidate[s]; a political body nominates its candidate[s] by collecting the requisite number of signatures from electors, of any party or no party, and filing nomination papers with the Secretary of the Commonwealth." *Working Families Party v. Commonwealth*, 169 A.3d 1247, 1252 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2017) (*en banc*). Political bodies may nominate candidates for more

¹ Walter Smolarek, one of the candidates here for presidential elector, appeared *pro se* at the hearing and submitted a memorandum of law on behalf of the Candidates. While the Candidates themselves did not attend the hearing, their campaign manager did, and she confirmed that the Candidates were unable to secure legal representation in this matter.

² Objectors in the above-captioned matter did not challenge the sufficiency of the number of signatures, as compared to the objectors in No. 379 M.D. 2024. Objection Pet. at 2, n.2. Instead, Objectors sought to strike the nomination papers as a whole based on the ineligibility of certain candidates for Presidential Elector and the falsity of their candidate affidavits. *Id*.

³ Act of June 3, 1937, P.L. 1333, as amended, 25 P.S. §§ 2600-3591.

⁴ The pertinent facts and procedural history of this matter are essentially undisputed.

⁵ See Section 801 of the Election Code, 25 P.S. § 2831.

than one office via a single nomination paper, as was done here.⁶ By signing the nomination paper, electors therefore indicate their support for all of the candidates listed therein.

Notably, Section 951(e)(6) of the Election Code provides that

[t]here shall be appended to each nomination paper offered for filing an affidavit of each candidate nominated therein, stating . . . (6) that in the case where he is a candidate for election at a general or municipal election, he was not a registered and enrolled member of a party thirty (30) days before the primary held prior to the general or municipal election in that same year[.]

25 P.S. § 2911(e)(6) (emphasis added). Moreover, Section 951.1 pertaining to the limitations on candidate eligibility states, in pertinent part: "Any person who is a registered and enrolled member of a party during any period of time beginning with thirty (30) days before the primary and extending through the general or municipal election of that same year *shall be ineligible to be the candidate of a political body* in a general or municipal election held in that same year[.]" 25 P.S. § 2911.1 (emphasis added) (Disaffiliation Provision).⁷

Here, Socialism and Liberation timely submitted its nomination paper and signature pages, which were accepted by the Department of State. That nomination paper lists multiple candidates for political office, namely: Claudia De la Cruz for President; Karina Garcia for Vice President; and 19 individuals for Presidential Electors. Along with the nomination paper and signature pages, Socialism and Liberation submitted to the Department of State a signed and notarized form titled "Political Body Candidate's Affidavit" for not just De la Cruz

 $^{^6}$ Section 951(c) of the Election Code, 25 P.S. \S 2911(c).

⁷ Added by the Act of July 12, 1980, P.L. 649, No. 134.

and Garcia, but for each of the 19 Presidential Electors. *See* Exhibit A to Objection Pet. The signed candidates' affidavits are identical and all contain the following language:

CANDIDATE'S AFFIDAVIT – I do swear (or affirm) that my residence, my election district and the name of the office for which I desire to be a candidate are as specified below, that I am eligible for said office[] . . . that if I am a candidate for election at a general or municipal election I shall not be a registered and enrolled member of a political party at any time during the period of thirty (30) days prior to the primary up to and including the day of the following general or municipal election[.]

Id.

Objectors timely filed their Petition asserting that Socialism and Liberation's nomination papers must be set aside because Presidential Electors are candidates under the Election Code and subject to the party disaffiliation rules thereunder. Accordingly, Objectors assert that these candidates did not disaffiliate in time and that their nomination papers must be stricken. This Court agrees.

At the hearing on August 14, 2024, Objectors produced records of the Statewide Uniform Registry of Electors (SURE) system⁸ conclusively showing that seven of Socialism and Liberation's Presidential Electors were registered as Democrats long before the April primary election and continued to be so as of

⁸ The SURE System is "a single, uniform integrated computer system" maintained by the Department of State that "[c]ontain[s] a database of all registered electors in this Commonwealth." 25 Pa.C.S. § 1222(c)(1). The database contains information for each elector collected during the voter registration process, including the elector's name, address, and party affiliation. *In re Doyle*, 304 A.3d 1091, 1096 n.3 (Pa. 2023).

August 13, 2024.⁹ Indeed, six of the seven had actually voted in the Democratic primary in April 2024. Registration information printed from the SURE system was certified by the Secretary and identified by a SURE system operator. This evidence was not disputed by the Candidates, and I find it to be conclusive.

I note first that under the clear language of the Election Code, nominees for Presidential Electors are candidates subject to the Disaffiliation Provision. Sections 951(e) and 953(a) of the Election Code, 25 P.S. §§ 2911(e) and 2913(a). The Candidates argue that the Disaffiliation Provision is unconstitutional, and that the Commonwealth has no compelling interest sufficient to justify a restriction on the freedom to vote, the freedom of association and the right to equal protection. With respect to presidential elector nominees' right to freedom of association, suffice it to say that such candidates are free to associate with any party or political body they choose, just not to be affiliated with two parties at the same time. Moreover, the burden on political bodies is minimal; they need only find 19 individuals unaffiliated with another party to act as their presidential elector nominees. While disqualification of the nomination papers may deprive voters of the ability to vote for the political body's candidates, this is true whenever potential candidates fail to meet qualification requirements. Finally, the Candidates' equal protection claim is not clear, but to the extent they are complaining that major parties are treated differently, it is clear that the nomination methods are so different in structure that they are simply not similarly situated. Moreover, the United States Supreme Court has found a far more restrictive disaffiliation provision to pass constitutional muster. In Storer v. Brown, 415 U.S. 724, 742 (1974), the Supreme

⁹ The Candidates argue that the affidavits to the contrary were signed in "good faith." This argument strains credulity. Certainly the Presidential Elector Candidates were aware that they were registered Democrats, and I find their affidavits to the contrary to be knowingly false.

Court considered a California statute that required disaffiliation for a full year before the general election. It upheld that statute finding compelling state interests justified the restriction, including securing an orderly election process and prevention of interparty raiding, noting that

[i]t works against independent candidacies prompted by short-range political goals, pique, or personal quarrel. It is also a substantial barrier to a party fielding an "independent" candidate to capture and bleed off votes in the general election that might well go to another party.

. . . .

It appears obvious to us that the one-year disaffiliation provision furthers the State's interest in the stability of its political system. We also consider that interest as not only permissible, but compelling and as outweighing the interest the candidate and his supporters may have in making a late rather than an early decision to seek independent ballot status.

Id. at 735-36.

Even if the seven Presidential Elector candidates are disqualified, the Candidates assert they should be able to substitute new candidates who can meet the disaffiliation requirement. Although the Election Code allows substitution in certain circumstances, those circumstances are not present here. Section 980 provides, in pertinent part:

In case of the death or withdrawal of any candidate nominated by any political body by nomination papers, the committee named in the original nomination papers may nominate a substitute in his place by filing in the proper office a substituted nomination certificate, which shall set forth the facts of the appointment and powers of the committee (naming all its members), of the death or withdrawal of the candidate and of the action of the

committee thereon, giving the name, residence and occupation of the candidate substituted thereby, and the truth of these facts shall be verified by the affidavit annexed to the certificate of at least two members of the committee.

25 P.S. § 2940 (emphasis added). In other words, substitution is not allowed to cure a nomination paper that was defective when filed. As our Supreme Court stated in rejecting the attempt to substitute a new candidate for a placeholder candidate whose nomination paper did not contain the requisite candidate's affidavit:

Although the use of a placeholder candidate is a permissible feature of the nominating process for political bodies, the Election Code draws no distinction between temporary candidates and permanent ones. In order to substitute the name of a *bona fide* nominee of a political body onto the ballot in that manner, a placeholder first must be duly nominated in accordance with the provisions of the Election Code. As we have made clear, "the failure to affix an affidavit of the candidate" to a nomination paper constitutes "a fatal defect" that "cannot be cured by subsequent conduct."

In re Scroggin, 237 A.3d 1006, 1019 (Pa. 2020) (citations omitted, emphasis in original). It is of no moment that the Presidential Elector candidates here were not intended to be placeholders. The result is the same—no substitution can be made after the filing deadline if the nomination paper of the original candidate, as here, contained a fatal defect.

Finally, the Candidates argue that if the 7 Presidential Elector candidates are removed, De la Cruz and Garcia should remain on the ballot with the remaining 12 Presidential Electors. This, too, is impermissible. The formula which provides for the election of 19 Presidential Electors is not an entitlement that De la Cruz, Garcia and the Socialism and Liberation political body can waive, but rather

a constitutional requirement. The United States Constitution provides for the selection of the President and Vice President by the Electoral College, made up as follows:

Each State shall appoint, in such Manner as the Legislature thereof may direct, a Number of Electors, equal to the whole Number of Senators and Representatives to which the State may be entitled in the Congress. . . .

U.S. Const., Art. II, § 1. In this manner, our Constitution provides for the specific proportional representation among the states in the Electoral College. ¹⁰ If the winning Presidential and Vice Presidential candidates in even one state had fewer Presidential Electors than provided in the Constitution (and the Election Code), the proportionality among the states mandated by the Constitution would be subverted. And if that were to happen in multiple states, the constitutional scheme for the election of the President and Vice President would be eviscerated.

Accordingly, I must strike the nomination papers of the Socialism and Liberation party and its slate of candidates.

/s/ *B. Leadbetter* bonnie brigance leadbetter,

President Judge Emerita

¹⁰ The Election Code provides that Pennsylvania's Presidential Electors shall be chosen by a vote of the qualified electors of the Commonwealth pursuant to the same formula. Section 1501 of the Election Code, 25 P.S. § 3191.

IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In re: Nomination Petition of : Claudia De la Cruz and Karina :

Garcia as Socialism and Liberation :

Candidates for President and Vice : President; Xiomara Torres, Walter :

Smolarek, Timothy Trout, Talia :

Giles, Sarah Bryski-Hamrick, Sarah

Bradham, Nancy Mitchell, Maxime : No. 380 M.D. 2024

Delafosse-Brown, Madelin Burrows, :

Lauren Marco, Kayla Trimble, :

Katherine Miernicki, Karla Martin, Jacqueline Alford, Hannah Rosche,

Francis Scarsella, Dominic

Denuzzio, Casey Wilbanks, and
Bianca Panunto as Socialism
and Liberation Candidates for

Presidential Elector :

:

Objection of: Laura Morris Siena and Arthur Sternberger, III

<u>ORDER</u>

AND NOW, this 20th day of August, 2024, following an evidentiary hearing, it is hereby ordered that the Petition to Set Aside Nomination Papers in the above-captioned case is hereby GRANTED. The Secretary of the Commonwealth is directed to REMOVE from the November 5, 2024 General Election ballot Claudia De la Cruz and Karina Garcia as the Socialism and Liberation Candidates for President and Vice President of the United States, and all of the following as Candidates for Presidential Elector: Xiomara Torres, Walter Smolarek, Timothy Trout, Talia Giles, Sarah Bryski-Hamrick, Sarah Bradham, Nancy Mitchell, Maxime Delafosse-Brown, Madelin Burrows, Lauren Marco, Kayla Trimble, Katherine

Miernicki, Karla Martin, Jacqueline Alford, Hannah Rosche, Francis Scarsella, Dominic Denuzzio, Casey Wilbanks, and Bianca Panunto.

The Prothonotary is directed to send a copy of this Order to the Secretary of the Commonwealth and to the parties: Candidates at info@votesocialist2024.com and karinagarcianyc@gmail.com, and Objectors' counsel at adam@boninlaw.com.

Each party shall bear his or her own costs.

/s/ *B. Leadbetter* BONNIE BRIGANCE LEADBETTER,

President Judge Emerita